

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addease COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wopto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/659,760	09/10/2003	Thomas L. C. Simpson	6066US BX2009T00920	4834
29200 7590 06/27/2011 K&L Gates LLP			EXAMINER	
P.O. Box 1135 Chicago, IL 60690-1135			NGUYEN, HIEP VAN	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3686	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/27/2011	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Notice of Panel Decision</b>
from Pre-Appeal Brief
Review

Application/Control No.		Applicant(s)/Patent under Reexamination	
10/659,760		SIMPSON ET AL.	
		Art Unit	
HIEP V. NGUYEN		3686	
*	*		

This is in response to the Pre-Appeal Brief Requ	uest for Review filed 11 May 2011.
<ol> <li>Improper Request – The Request is reason(s):</li> </ol>	improper and a conference will not be held for the following
☐ The Notice of Appeal has not been i ☐ The request does not include reason ☐ A proposed amendment is included ☐ Other:	
	ues to run from the receipt date of the Notice of Appeal or from on, if no Notice of Appeal has been received.
held. The application remains under appeal is required to submit an appeal brief in acco brief will be reset to be one month from mail running from the receipt of the notice of app	s and Interferences – A Pre-Appeal Brief conference has been because there is at least one actual issue for appeal. Applicant drance with 37 CFR 41.37. The time period for filing an appeal ling this decision, or the balance of the two-month time period real, whichever is greater. Further, the time period for filing of th 36 based upon the mail date of this decision or the receipt date
☐ The panel has determined the state Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration	
<ol> <li>Allowable application – A conference Allowance will be mailed. Prosecution on the applicant at this time.</li> </ol>	e has been held. The rejection is withdrawn and a Notice of e merits remains closed. No further action is required by
Reopen Prosecution – A conference action will be mailed. No further action is re	has been held. The rejection is withdrawn and a new Office quired by applicant at this time.
All participants:	
(1) <u>HIEP V. NGUYEN</u> .	(3) <u>VINNY MILLIN</u> .
(2) <u>JERRY O'CONNOR</u> .	(4)
	/Jerry O'Connor/ SPE, GAU 3686

the